

Research

Open Access

Assessing the risk of self-diagnosed malaria in urban informal settlements of Nairobi using self-reported morbidity survey

Yazoumé Yé*, Elizabeth Kimani-Murage, John Kebaso and Frederick Mugisha

Address: African Population and Health Research Centre (APHRC), Shelter Afrique Centre, 2nd Floor, Longonot Road, Upper Hill, P.O. Box 10787-00100 GPO, Nairobi, Kenya

Email: Yazoumé Yé* - yyazoume@aphrc.org; Elizabeth Kimani-Murage - lizmurage_2003@yahoo.com; John Kebaso - jkebaso@aphrc.org; Frederick Mugisha - fmugisha@aphrc.org

* Corresponding author

Published: 26 May 2007

Received: 29 January 2007

Malaria Journal 2007, **6**:71 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-6-71

Accepted: 26 May 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.malariajournal.com/content/6/1/71>

© 2007 Yé et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Because of the belief that Nairobi is a low risk zone for malaria, little empirical data exists on malaria risk in the area. The aim of this study was to explore the risk of perceived malaria and some associated factors in Nairobi informal settlements using self-reported morbidity survey.

Methods: The survey was conducted from May to August 2004 on 7,288 individuals in two informal settlements of Nairobi. Participants were asked to report illnesses they experienced in the past 14 days. Logistic regression was used to estimate the odds of perceived-malaria. The model included variables such as site of residence, age, ethnicity and number of reported symptoms.

Results: Participants reported 165 illnesses among which malaria was the leading cause (28.1%). The risk of perceived-malaria was significantly higher in Viwandani compared to Korogocho (OR 1.61, 95%CI: 1.10–2.26). Participants in age group 25–39 years had significantly higher odds of perceived-malaria compared to those under-five years (OR 2.07, 95%CI: 1.43–2.98). The Kikuyu had reduced odds of perceived-malaria compared to other ethnic groups. Individuals with five and more symptoms had higher odds compared to those with no symptoms (OR 23.69, 95%CI: 12.98–43.23).

Conclusion: Malaria was the leading cause of illness as perceived by the residents in the two informal settlements. This was rational as the number of reported symptoms was highly associated with the risk of reporting the illness. These results highlight the need for a more comprehensive assessment of malaria epidemiology in Nairobi to be able to offer evidence-based guidance to policy on malaria in Kenya and particularly in Nairobi.

Background

Malaria remains a major public health burden in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) [1-3]. The disease is endemic in Kenya, where it exerts a heavy burden on the health system. Malaria is the leading cause of outpatient morbidity in six provinces out of eight [4] and accounts for more than 8.2 million outpatient treatments at the govern-

ment's health facilities each year. Although the disease is endemic in the country, the level of transmission varies according to the ecological settings. The country is divided into four malaria epidemiological zones namely: endemic zone, highland (epidemic prone) zone, arid (epidemic prone) zone and low risk zone [5]

Nairobi, the capital city of Kenya, is classified as a low risk area and, therefore, malaria is not considered a major public health problem. The city is located 1,700 metres above sea level and the cold seasonal temperatures limit development of *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoite stage in the salivary glands of the mosquito vector [6-14]. In addition, the urban habitat is less suitable for *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. and *Anophele funestus*, the dominant malaria vectors in sub-Saharan Africa [15,16]. A survey undertaken in 1982 among residents at nine sites across the city revealed a low range of *P. falciparum* infection prevalence estimates from 1.8% to 13.5% with an average of 4.9% [17]. Despite the expected low transmission, malaria continues to be a common diagnosis made among outpatient attendants to clinics in the city. For example, in 2001, malaria was ranked as the second highest contributor to outpatient morbidity accounting for 10.6% of morbidity in Nairobi after respiratory system diseases [4].

Does the population who live in informal settlements also perceive malaria as one of the key morbidities? Their perception of malaria will most likely influence their treatment-seeking behaviour and consequent use of anti-malarial drugs. This study, seeks to assess the level of perceived-malaria and the associated factors using self-reported morbidity survey in Nairobi informal settlements. Data from a self-reported morbidity survey conducted in 2004 in two informal settlements of Nairobi (Viwandani and Korogocho) was used. The survey was conducted as part of the Nairobi Urban Health and Demographic Surveillance System (NUHDSS) by the African Population and Health Research Centre (APHRC).

Methods

Study site

The study was part of the Nairobi Urban Health and Demographic Surveillance System (NUHDSS), which is run by APHRC. It covers two informal settlements of Korogocho and Viwandani in Nairobi city. Both are informal settlements located about 5-10 km from the city centre and 3 km from each other. The population under surveillance in 2004 was 59,698 inhabitants, with 26,533 living in Korogocho and 33,165 in Viwandani. Poor environmental sanitation, overcrowded houses and poor access to basic health care characterize these settlements [18,19]. These conditions impact dramatically on their health. Compared to the rest of Kenya, the informal settlements exhibit worse health indicators, especially for the under-five population [18,20,21]. Insecticide-treated net (ITN) possession is expected to be low in the informal settlements. In reality, according to the DHS 2003, the proportion of households in Nairobi province who possesses ITN is 6.9%, which is only slightly higher than the national level (5.9%). However, within the lowest wealth quintile only 2.5% of the household possess an ITN [22].

Since most of slum-dwellers do fall within the lowest wealth quintile, ITN coverage is expected to be low therein.

Study population

The household survey covered 7,288 individuals randomly selected from the population under surveillance. Analysis was restricted to those individuals who reported at least one illness during the morbidity survey. The population of interest is, therefore, comprised of 1,394 individuals.

Self-reported morbidity survey

The self-reported morbidity survey was conducted as part of a panel household survey in Korogocho and Viwandani from May to August 2004. During this survey 7,288 individuals were interviewed. They were asked to report a maximum of three illnesses they had during the past 14 days as well as the symptoms. For the symptoms, respondents were asked to report at most five symptoms. Data for individuals below 14 years old were collected from proxy respondents (parents or caretakers).

Statistical analysis

Data were processed and cleaned in MS Access and exported to STATA 9 for statistical analysis. Logistic regression was used to estimate the odds of reporting malaria given specific factors. The multivariate model included factors such as site of residence (Viwandani compared to Korogocho), gender (male compared to female), age groups (5-14 years, 15-24 years, 25-39 years and 40+ years, compared to under-five years the most susceptible population in high transmission and endemic area [23,24]), ethnicity (Kamba, Luhya and Luo compared to Kikuyu) and a symptom score (Score 1, Score 2, Score 3, Score 4 and Score 5+ compared individually to Score 0). To obtain the symptom score variable, we first selected symptoms which are likely to be associated with malaria among the 29 listed. These are (a) fever, (b) convulsions, (c) headache, (d) vomiting, (e) joint pain, (f) tiredness, (g) loss of appetite, (h) chest pain, (i) abdominal pain, (j) diarrhoea, and (k) paralysis. The score was then computed by counting the number of symptoms reported in the presence of fever. An individual would score zero if he reported none of these symptoms, score 1 if he reported only fever and score more than one to those who reported fever + another of the malaria-related symptoms. To illustrate how the score works, consider an individual A with fever only. Consider individual B with fever, vomiting and joint pains. And consider individual C with sleeping difficulty. Individuals A, B, and C get scores 1, 3, and 0 respectively. The logistic regression model was defined as follows:

$$\text{logit}(\pi_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Slum_Vivandani}_i + \beta_2 \text{Gender_Male}_i + \beta_3 \text{AgeGroup_15 - 24}_i + \beta_4 \text{AgeGroup_24 - 39}_i + \beta_5 \text{AgeGroup_40}_i + \beta_6 \text{Ethnicity_Kamba}_i + \beta_7 \text{Ethnicity_Luhya}_i + \beta_8 \text{Ethnicity_Luo}_i + \beta_9 \text{Symptom_Score_1}_i + \beta_{10} \text{Symptom_Score_2}_i + \beta_{11} \text{Symptom_Score_3}_i + \beta_{12} \text{Symptom_Score_4}_i + \beta_{13} \text{Symptom_Score_5}_i + \epsilon_i$$

Where π_i is the predicted probability of reporting malaria of the i th individual; the odds of the same individual will be $\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}$. β_0 is the intercept, and $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{13}$ the regression

coefficients of the independent variables (name following each coefficient). The odds ratio of reporting malaria associated with *Slum_Vivandani* compared to *Slum_Korogocho* (reference) is the exponential of β_1 (OR $\text{Slum_Vivandani} = \exp(\beta_1)$). Statistical significance was assessed using 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results

Study population characteristics

Table 1 shows the study participants' characteristics. In total 1,394 individuals took part in the study. 58.7% of them were from Korogocho and 41.3% from Viwandani. There were more males (53.1%) than females (46.9%). The age distribution shows a high proportion of under-fives (24.5%) and 25–40 year age group (26%). The other age groups represented almost the same number of individuals. The median age was 21 years, range: 0 to 75 years. Participants were classified in four major ethnic groups which are Kikuyu, Kamba, Luhya and Luo. Kikuyu (26.3%) are the majority followed by Kamba (22.3%), Luo (21.9%) and Luhya (14.3%). Ten other ethnic groups were grouped in the category "Other" (15.4%).

Leading reported illness

Participants reported 165 illnesses, among which the top five causes of illness were malaria (28.1%) followed by common cold (23.4%), typhoid (2.6%), pneumonia (2.5%) and asthma (2.2%). The remaining 160 reported illnesses accounted for less than 2% of illnesses. There was a significant number (11.8%) of participants who could not identify the cause of their illness (Table 2).

Perceived-malaria

Overall 392 cases of malaria were reported, which represents 28.1% of the illnesses. There was a significant difference between the two settlements whereby a higher percentage of perceived-malaria was observed in Viwandani compared to Korogocho (46.9% vs. 34.1, p -value = 0.008). No significant difference was observed between males and females (26.6% vs 29.5%, p -value = 0.25). The perceived-malaria varied significantly by age group. The age group that reported the highest malaria in descending

order was 25–40 years (37.1%), the 15–24 year (28.0%), 40 years plus (27.7%), under-fives (23.6%), and the 5–14 years (21.3%). The Luo ethnic group reported the highest prevalence of malaria (35.4%) followed by Kamba (32.5%), Luhya (31.7%) and Kikuyu (22.6%). The perceived-malaria increased with the increased in number of symptoms (Table 3). For example, 54.8% of individuals who scored 5+ on the symptom scale reported malaria compared to just 5.8% who scored 0. The higher the score on the symptom scale was the more they were likely to report malaria.

Factors associated with odds of perceived-malaria

The risk of perceived-malaria was significantly associated with the settlement of residence. Individuals living in Viwandani had high odds of reporting malaria compared to those living in Korogocho (OR 1.61, 95% CI: 1.10–2.26). Gender was not associated with the risk of reporting malaria. Comparing older age groups to the under-fives we observed that only the age group 25–39 years had significantly higher odds (OR 2.07, 95%CI: 1.43–2.98) of reporting malaria. Ethnicity was highly associated with the odds of reporting malaria whereby all ethnic groups have significantly higher odds than the Kikuyu. The odds of reporting malaria increased significantly with increasing symptom score. Individuals with five and more symptoms had significantly higher odds compared to those with no symptoms (OR 23.69, 95% CI: 12.98–43.23) (Table 4).

Discussion

The Kenya National Malaria Strategy [25] outlines interventions aimed at communities living in rural, high transmission areas. There is no direction on alternative approaches to disease prevention and diagnosis in urban settlements of presumed low risk and particularly in the informal settlements. The results of this study demonstrated that malaria may be a significant health problem in Nairobi and particularly in the informal settlements. It is the most reported illness in the two communities. Individual health-seeking behaviour is driven by perceptions about illness and so does the choice of treatment. With self-reported malaria, one would expect a high use of anti-malarial drugs both in self-treatment [26] and at health facilities, which if wrongly treated may in turn create unnecessary drug pressure leading to resistance

Almost all the significant factors associated with perceived-malaria were associated with high levels of mobility to and from the informal settlement communities. The under fives are most susceptible to malaria yet our results suggest the 25–40 as the age bracket that reported most malaria. This age group is also the most mobile [27]. Evidence also suggests the Kikuyus (from Nairobi regions) are the least likely to be mobile to areas with endemic

Table 1: Characteristics of study populations

Variables	N	Percent
Total Population	1394	100
Slums		
Korogocho	818	58.7
Viwandani	576	41.3
Gender		
Female	654	46.9
Male	739	53.0
Age group (Years)		
Under 5	343	24.6
5–14	235	16.9
15–24	214	15.4
25–40	367	26.3
>40	235	16.9
Age median	21	(0–75)
Ethnicity		
Kikuyu	367	26.3
Kamba	311	22.3
Luhya	199	14.3
Luo	305	21.9
Other	212	15.2
Symptoms Scores		
Score 0	413	29.6
Score 1	139	10.0
Score 2	291	20.9
Score 3	290	20.8
Score 4	168	12.1
Score 5+	93	6.7

malaria compared to the Luo's and Luhya. The latter ethnic groups are from the western part of the country classified as the most malaria endemic region with a high-risk of transmission year around [5]. They are more likely to travel to their region for family visit often and are therefore exposed to malaria infection. As reported by Shanks and colleagues, travelling from low risk malaria area to a high-risk area is highly associated with risk of infection [28].

In addition, there is more mobility in Viwandani than in Korogocho because of the nature of the settlement [18]. Indeed Viwandani is located in the industrial area and is mainly populated by migrant workers coming to work in the factories and return to their rural homes seasonally. Therefore, there is a constant flow of the population between the other regions of the country, including those that are malaria endemic and this informal settlement. Korogocho is populated by more or less long-term dweller

Table 2: The top fives reported illness among the study participants

Illnesses	cases	Percent
Malaria	392	28.1
Common cold	320	23.0
Typhoid	36	2.6
Pneumonia	35	2.5
Asthma	30	2.2
Other (160 illnesses)	416	29.8
NOT KNOWN	165	11.8
Total	1394	100

Table 3: Distributions of self-reported malaria as illness among study participants

Variable	N	Cases	Prevalence	χ^2 test: p-value
Total Population	1394	392	28.1	
Slums				$p = 0.008$
Korogocho	610	208	34.1	
Viwandani	392	184	46.9	
Gender				$p = 0.25$
Female	654	174	26.6	
Male	739	218	29.5	
Age group (Years)				$p < 0.001$
Under 5	343	81	23.6	
5–14	235	50	21.3	
15–24	214	60	28.0	
25–40	367	136	37.1	
>40	235	65	27.7	
Ethnicity				$p < 0.001$
Kikuyu	367	83	22.6	
Kamba	311	101	32.5	
Luha	199	63	31.7	
Luo	305	108	35.4	
Other	212	37	17.5	
Symptoms Scores				$p < 0.001$
Score 0	413	24	5.8	
Score 1	139	15	10.8	
Score 2	291	101	34.7	
Score 3	290	125	43.1	
Score 4	168	76	45.2	
Score 5+	93	51	54.8	

populations, not as mobile as their counterparts from Viwandani. This would, therefore, suggest that the malaria reported was imported or peoples' perception of malaria was based on their previous experiences in endemic areas, where fever is commonly attributed to malaria.

Despite the evidence that, the self-reported malaria is likely to be imported from endemic part of the country, the possibility that transmission is taking place locally cannot be ruled out. Indeed a significant proportion of so-called stable parts of the population have also reported malaria. Also, Nairobi is not a malaria-free zone, although the transmission may be low. Indeed, TJ Anderson reported the presence of both *Anophele. gambiae* and *Anophele funestus* in 1912 [29] and confirmed through a more detailed investigation in 1926 [30]. A school survey of infections conducted in 1929 showed that 2.5% of children were infected with *P. falciparum* [31]. The concern is even high since this study has shown a higher prevalence 5.4% (392 cases reported among 7288 participants). Compared to high-risk regions where the prevalence is above 5.4%, this might appear negligible, but looking at

it critically, 5% of 60,000 inhabitants from the two informal settlements are 3,240 individuals.

The approach used to assess malaria diagnosis could be questionable, since individuals were asked to report without any laboratory test or clinical diagnosis. However, it can also be argued that only few (5.8%) reported to have malaria without fever. The majority reported malaria only if they had fever and others symptoms associated with malaria. More reported symptoms were highly associated with the risk of perceived-malaria. As it is the case in the clinic, fever was the basis of reporting malaria. It is, therefore, evident that the rationale to report malaria is not that different from what is commonly used in the clinics as required by the presumptive treatment approach [5].

The evidence from this study suggests that malaria does exist in Nairobi and particularly in the informal settlements. Whether this is an element of misdiagnosis or imported malaria from other endemic areas should be a concern for those in policy and programmes. The consequence of not recognizing the malaria problem in Nairobi when it exists will increase the likelihood of misdiagnosis

Table 4: Odd ratios for self-reported malaria as illness using logistics regression

Variables	Odd Ratio	P-value	95%CI
Slums			
Korogocho	1		
Viwandani	1.61	0.00	1.10 – 2.26
Sex			
Female	1.00		
Male	1.13	0.37	0.86 – 1.48
Age group (Years)			
Under 5	1.00		
5–14	1.03	0.90	0.66 – 1.59
15–24	1.31	0.22	0.85 – 2.02
25–39	2.07	0.00	1.43 – 2.98
>40	1.32	0.20	0.86 – 2.01
Ethnicity			
Kikuyu	1.00		
Kamba	1.50	0.04	1.10 – 2.22
Luha	2.21	0.00	1.42 – 3.44
Luo	2.12	0.00	1.45 – 3.11
Symptoms Scores			
Score 0	1.00		
Score 1	2.13	0.04	1.05 – 4.32
Score 2	9.44	0.00	5.76 – 15.47
Score 3	13.61	0.00	8.37 – 22.13
Score 4	14.89	0.00	8.77 – 25.28
Score 5+	23.69	0.00	12.98 – 43.23

* 95% Confidence Limit, bold = significant at 0.05% level

and complications resulting from either delayed treatment or improper treatment. On the other hand, continuing to diagnose malaria wrongly in clinics increases pressure on the anti-malarial drugs being used. It is important to wait for malariometric surveys as an alternative source of evidence. However it is critical for those in policy and programmes to begin to look at how malaria is diagnosed and treated, keeping in mind the likelihood that malaria prevalence in Nairobi is comparable to other endemic areas.

Self-reported malaria is the most prevalent cause of morbidity in Nairobi's informal settlements. With the underlying belief that there is little malaria in Nairobi province in Kenya, no priority is given to address the problem of malaria in the slums. In Africa, malaria epidemiological research has traditionally focused on areas of stable, endemic transmission where infection with the parasite is frequent. In these areas functional clinical immunity is acquired early in life and the overall risks of morbidity and mortality from infection with *P. falciparum* are high. Conversely very little is known about the infection and clinical epidemiology of malaria in settings with low

transmission, which are ecologically unsuitable for mosquito breeding and with malaria imported elsewhere such as the urban slum communities. There is a need to verify the reported cases of malaria with more detailed community based malariometric surveys (or at least by confirming suspected cases by using microscopy or rapid diagnostic tests) to provide guidance to policy on malaria in the Nairobi.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

YY conceptualized the paper, did the analysis and wrote the manuscript.

EK-M substantially participated in the conception and writing-up of the manuscript.

JK substantially participated in the conception and writing-up of the manuscript.

FM substantially participated in the conception, analysis and writing-up of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the APHRC's dedicated DSS field and data management teams for the data collection and processing. Especially, thanks to Dr Woubalem Zewdu for the preliminary data cleaning work. Thanks also go to the research staff for their comments during the brown bags and other channels. We are grateful to Professor Bob Snow, Principal Wellcome Trust Fellow for providing the historical data on malaria in Nairobi. Thanks to Dr Catherine Kyobutungi for helping in proof-reading the manuscript. The authors remain indebted to the study participants for their time and endurance throughout the process. The authors acknowledge financial support to the NUDHSS from the Rockefeller and Hewlett Foundations.

References

- WHO/UNICEF: *Africa malaria report 2003*. Geneva 2003.
- Steketee RW, Nahlen BL, Parise ME, Menendez C: **The burden of malaria in pregnancy in malaria-endemic areas**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2001, **64**:28-35.
- Bryce J, Boschi-Pinto C, Shibuya K, Black RE: **WHO estimates of the causes of death in children**. *Lancet* 2005, **365**:1147-1152.
- Health Management Information Systems (HMIS) Kenya: *Report, Republic of Kenya* 2001.
- Ministry of Health: **National Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and prevention of malaria for health workers in Kenya**. Division of Malaria Control (DOMC), Ministry of Health, Republic of Kenya, Nairobi; 2006.
- Macdonald G: **Appendix I. Mathematical statements**. In *The Epidemiology and Control of Malaria* Oxford University Press, London; 1957:201.
- Dentinova TS: **Age-grouping methods in Diptera of medical importance with special reference to some vectors of malaria**. Monogr Ser WHO N°47, Geneva; 1962.
- Lindsay SW, Birley MH: **Climate change and malaria transmission**. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 1996, **90**:573-588.
- Craig MH, Snow RW, le Sueur D: **A climate-based distribution model of malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa**. *Parasitol Today* 1999, **15**:105-111.
- Lindblade KA, Walker ED, Onapa AWW, Katungu J, Wilson ML: **Land use change alters malaria transmission parameters by modifying temperature in a highland area of Uganda**. *Trop Med Int Health* 2000, **5**:263-274.
- Githeko AK, Ndegwa W: **Predicting malaria epidemics in Kenyan highlands using climate data: a tool for decision makers**. *Global Change and Human Health* 2001, **2**:54-63.
- Shanks GD, Hay SI, Stern DI, Biomndo K, Snow RW: **Meteorologic influences on Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the Highland Tea Estates of Kericho, Western Kenya**. *Emerg Inf Dis* 2002, **8**:1404-1408.
- Craig MH, Kleinschmidt I, Nawn JB, Le Sueur D, Sharp BL: **Exploring 30 years of malaria case data in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: part I. The impact of climatic factors**. *Trop Med Int Health* 2004, **9**:1247-57.
- Teklehaimanot HD, Lipsitch M, Teklehaimanot A, Schwartz J: **Weather-based prediction of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in epidemic-prone regions of Ethiopia I. Patterns of lagged weather effects reflects biological mechanisms**. *Malar J* 2004, **3**:41.
- Hay SI, Guerra CA, Tatem AJ, Atkinson PM, Snow RW: **Urbanization, malaria transmission and disease burden in Africa**. *Nature Reviews Microbiol* 2005, **3**:81-90.
- Robert V, Macintyre K, Keating J, Trape JF, Duchemin JB, Warren M, Beier JC: **Malaria transmission in urban sub-Saharan Africa**. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003, **68**:169-176.
- Rapuoda BA, Achola P: **Studies on malaria and its vectors in Nairobi: A review of the distribution of the vectors and the prevalence of the disease**. *Proceeding of the Kemri/kefri 5th Medical Scientific conference*. Nairobi 1984.
- APHRC: **Health and Livelihood needs of residents of informal settlements in Nairobi city**. *Occasional Study Report No.1*. Nairobi 2002.
- Amuyunzu-Nyamongo M, Taffa N: **The triad of poverty, environment and child health in Nairobi's informal settlements**. *Journal of Health and Population in Developing Countries* 2004, **6**:1-14.
- Taffa N: **A comparison of pregnancy and child health outcomes between teenage and adult mothers in the slums of Nairobi, Kenya**. *Int J Adoles Med Health* 2003, **15**:321-329.
- Magadi MA, Zulu EM, Brockerhoff M: **The inequality of Maternal health care in urban sub-Saharan Africa in the 1990s**. *Population Studies* 2003, **57**:347-366.
- CBS, Ministry of Health ORC Macro: **Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) 2003**. CBS, Ministry of Health ORC Macro. Calverton, Maryland, USA; 2004.
- Lusingu JP, Vestergaard LS, Mmbando BP, Drakeley CJ, Jones C, Akida J, Savaeli ZX, Kitua AY, Lemnge MM, Theander TG: **Malaria morbidity and immunity among residents of villages with different Plasmodium falciparum transmission intensity in North-Eastern Tanzania**. *Malar J* 2004, **3**:26.
- Reyburn H, Mbatia R, Drakeley C, Bruce J, Carneiro I, Olomi R, Cox J, Nkya WM, Lemnge M, Greenwood BM, Riley EM: **Association of transmission intensity and age with clinical manifestations and case fatality of severe Plasmodium falciparum malaria**. *JAMA* 2005, **293**:1461-1470.
- Ministry of Health Kenya: **National Malaria Strategy 2001-2010**. Division of Malaria Control (DOMC), Ministry of Health, Republic of Kenya, Nairobi; 2001.
- Mugisha F, Kouyate B, Gbangou A, Sauerborn R: **Examining out-of-pocket expenditure on health care in Nouna, Burkina Faso: Implication for health policy**. *Trop Med Int health* 2002, **7**:187-196.
- Zulu E, Konseiga A, Darteh E, Mberu B: **Migration and the Urbanization of Poverty in sub-Saharan Africa: The Case of Nairobi City, Kenya**. *Proceedings of the PAA conference: March 30-April 1, 2006; Los Angeles*.
- Shanks GD, Biomndo K, Guyatt HL, Snow RW: **Travel as a risk factor for uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the highlands of western Kenya**. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2004, **99**:71-74.
- Symes CB: **Malaria in Nairobi**. *East African Med J* 1940, **17**:291-307.
- Van Someren VGL, de Boer HS: **Report on the mosquito breeding areas within the Nairobi municipality area, with special reference to waters containing larvae**. *East African Med J* 1926, **2**:277-299.
- Colony & Protectorate of Kenya: *Medical and Sanitation Department Annual Report*. Nairobi 1929.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

